Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 55

	1.
1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	
4	LOUIS AGRE, et al.,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	vs. No. 2:17-cv-4392
7	THOMAS W. WOLF, Governor of Pennsylvania,
8	et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	
11	
12	DEPOSITION OF: DANA KELLERMAN, DVM
13	
14	DATE: November 28, 2017 Tuesday, 9:25 a.m.
15	LOCATION: Cipriani & Werner
16	650 Washington Road Suite 700
17	Pittsburgh, PA 15228 412-563-2500
18	TAKEN BY: Defendants
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Sheila Stauffer, RPR AKF REPORTERS, INC.
21	Notary Public Reference No. SS47133A
22	
23	
24	
25	

Wolf	November	28, 201
Page 2		Page 4
DEPOSITION OF DANA KELLERMAN, DVM, a Plaintiff herein, called by the Defendants for examination, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, taken by and before Sheila Stauffer, a Registered Professional Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the offices of Cipriani & Werner, 650 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, November 28, 2017, at 9:25 a.m.	PROCEEDINGS	
3 Sheila Stauffer, a Registered Professional Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the	3 DANA KELLERMAN, DVM,	
4 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the offices of Cipriani & Werner, 650 Washington Road,	4 being first duly sworn,	
5 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, November 28, 2017, at 9:25 a.m.	was examined and testified as follows:	
	6	
7 APPEARANCES:	7 EXAMINATION	
FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: Michael J. Healey, Esq. HEALEY & HORNACK 10 247 Fort Pitt Boulevard, 4th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222 11 412-391-7711 mike@unionlawyers.net	8	
HEALEY & HORNACK 10 247 Fort Pitt Bouleyard, 4th Floor	9 BY MR. HALL:	
Pittsburgh PA 15222 11 412-391-7711	Would you state your name for the record, please.	
mike@unionlawyers.net	11 please. 12 A. Dana Kellerman.	
	13 Q. What is your address, Ms. Kellerman?	
John E. Hall, Esq.	14 A. It's Dr.	
CIPRIANT & WERNER 15 650 Washington Road, Suite 700 Pittsburgh PA 15228 16 412-563-2500	15 Q. Dr. Kellerman.	
Pittsburgh PA 15228	L6 A. Yes. 1299 Fox Chapel Road, Pittsburgh, 15	238.
tkuhn@c-wlaw.com	17 Q. So is that Fox Chapel Borough?	
1.8	18 A. Yes.	
19	19 Q. Are you a medical doctor or PhD?	
20	20 A. I am a veterinarian.	
21	21 Q. Okay. Well, we all like our vets, that's for	
22	sure. Where do you practice? Where is you	ır
23	practice? A. I do a mobile consulting practice for the	
24	local veterinarians.	
25	100at votormarians.	
Page 3		Page 5
1 EXAMINATION INDEX	1	
2 DANA KELLERMAN BY MR. HALL	2 (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)	
3 BI MR. HALL	3	
4	4 Q. I am going to show you and your attorney f	irst
5 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	5 the Amended Complaint, and I highlighted	
6	6 name.	-
7 EXHIBITS MARKED	7 A. Okay.	
8	8 Q. Dr. Kellerman, had you seen that Amended	
Exhibit 1	9 Complaint before this morning?	
	10 A. Yes.	
11 12	11 Q. Did you participate in the drafting of that	
13	12 complaint? 13 A. No.	
14	13 A. No. 14 Q. When did you see that complaint?	
15	15 A. I looked at it on the Brennan Law Center	
	website last night.	
16	to website last ingit.	
		at
17		at
17 18	17 Q. Had it already been filed when you looked	at
17 18 19	17 Q. Had it already been filed when you looked a it with the Eastern District Court? 19 A. I assumed so because after that there are listed decisions by the court as to various	at
16 17 18 19 20	17 Q. Had it already been filed when you looked a it with the Eastern District Court? 19 A. I assumed so because after that there are listed decisions by the court as to various portions of it.	at
17 18 19 20	17 Q. Had it already been filed when you looked a it with the Eastern District Court? 19 A. I assumed so because after that there are listed decisions by the court as to various portions of it. MR. HEALEY: Just for the record,	
17 18 19 20 21	it with the Eastern District Court? I assumed so because after that there are listed decisions by the court as to various portions of it. MR. HEALEY: Just for the record, the file stamp indicates it was filed November.	
17 18 19 20 21 22	17 Q. Had it already been filed when you looked a it with the Eastern District Court? 19 A. I assumed so because after that there are listed decisions by the court as to various portions of it. MR. HEALEY: Just for the record,	

				November 28, 2017
	Page 6			Page 8
1	yesterday was after that.	1	Q.	So at any rate, this complaint had been filed
2 Q.	How did you come to engage the attorney or	2		before you saw it?
3	attorneys representing the plaintiffs in Civil	3	A.	Yes.
4	Action No. 17-3492 where Louis Agre is the	4	Q.	And you have never been asked to sign what I
5	first named plaintiff, and you are one of the	5		have termed an engagement letter from any
6	named plaintiffs? I'm not going to read them	6		attorney?
7	all.	7	A.	I have not been asked to sign anything.
8 A.	Somebody I know on-line said that there was a	8	Q.	And then there are no fees you say?
9	lawsuit going on about gerrymandering, and	9	A.	I have not been asked to receive or to pay any
LO	they were looking for citizens living in each	10		fees.
L1	congressional district who feel they might be	11	Q.	So who is paying the lawyers, do you know?
L2	harmed by gerrymandering, and I volunteered.	12	A.	No, I do not. Maybe they are doing it out of
L3 Q.	When you said somebody, I am going to ask who	13		the goodness of their hearts.
L 4	somebody is.	14		MR. HEALEY: That happens sometimes.
L5 A.	I have no idea. Possibly Lee Longo, but	15		esse.
L6	honestly I really don't know. But they sent	16		(There was a discussion off the record.)
L7	me Brian Gordon, the other attorney's contact	17		2222
L8	information, and I got in contact with Brian.	18	Q.	At any rate, you've never paid any of these
L9 Q.	So you volunteered on-line; is that right?	19		lawyers?
20 A.	Yes.		A.	No.
21 Q.	Did you fill out an application or any type of	21	Q.	You are not aware of any other plaintiff that
22	registration?	22		has paid any of these lawyers?
3 A.	No. She asked if people I am assuming it		Α.	No.
24	was a woman asked if there was anybody in	24	$Q_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$	So you are, according to the complaint, you
25	the district, I said I was, and she forwarded	25		are a resident of the 12th Pennsylvania
	Page 7			Page 9
1	me Brian's contact information and said if you	1		congressional district?
2	are interested, get in touch with him, and	2	A.	Yes.
3	they will let you know what that would	3	Q.	And who would be your congressman?
3 4	they will let you know what that would involve.		Q. A.	And who would be your congressman? Keith Rothfus.
		4	-	
4	involve.	4 5	A.	Keith Rothfus.
4 5 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with	4 5 6	A. Q.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for?
4 5 Q. 6 A.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with	4 5 6	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon.	4 5 6 7	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in	4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern	4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania?	4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus.
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district?
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 15 16 17	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot.
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 LO L1 A. 12 Q. 13 14 15 16 17 18 A.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be. There are no fees. I don't believe I did. I did tell Brian I would attend the deposition.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot. Did you write anybody in?
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 LO L1 A. 12 Q. 13 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be. There are no fees. I don't believe I did. I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot. Did you write anybody in? I did not. My previous district, where I
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 110 A. 112 Q. 113 14 15 16 17 118 A. 119 20 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be. There are no fees. I don't believe I did. I did tell Brian I would attend the deposition.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot. Did you write anybody in? I did not. My previous district, where I would have preferred my representative,
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 Q. 13 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20 Q.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be. There are no fees. I don't believe I did. I did tell Brian I would attend the deposition. Have you ever had to sign an engagement letter	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot. Did you write anybody in? I did not. My previous district, where I would have preferred my representative, disappeared. I used to be part of the 4th
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 110 A. 112 Q. 113 14 15 16 17 18 A. 119 20 Q. 21 22 A.	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be. There are no fees. I don't believe I did. I did tell Brian I would attend the deposition. Have you ever had to sign an engagement letter with an attorney? No, no. Do you have an attorney like for your	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot. Did you write anybody in? I did not. My previous district, where I would have preferred my representative, disappeared. I used to be part of the 4th district.
4 5 Q. 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10	involve. What is Brian's full name? Brian Gordon. Did you enter into an engagement letter with any attorney representing the plaintiffs in Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-4392 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania? What is an engagement letter? That would be a letter from the attorney setting forth the terms of the engagement with the attorney, and what that attorney is going to do and maybe what he is not going to do, or she is not going to do, and what the fees would be. There are no fees. I don't believe I did. I did tell Brian I would attend the deposition. Have you ever had to sign an engagement letter with an attorney? No, no.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	Keith Rothfus. Did you vote for Keith in the last election? No. Who did you vote for? MR. HEALEY: I am going to object to specifics on vote. If you want to ask generally, do you generally vote Democratic or Republican, but in terms of who you voted specifically in a particular election, but she answered she didn't vote for Rothfus. Were you prevented in any way from voting for who you wanted to in the last election for Congress in your 12th congressional district? I was not prevented from voting for either of the people who were on the ballot. Did you write anybody in? I did not. My previous district, where I would have preferred my representative, disappeared. I used to be part of the 4th

Wolf				November 28, 2017
	Page 10			Page 12
1	live in the exact same place I did. My	1		you if they want to. So why don't we mark
2	district was changed.	2		this as Exhibit 2.
3 Q.	How many times did you vote in the 12th	3		
4	district?	4		(Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)
5 A.	We have been the 12th since 2010 or 2012.	5		TOTAL CONTROL OF THE
6 Q.	And has	6	Q.	Doctor, the highlighting is mine.
7 A.	I vote every two years.	7	A.	Okay.
8 Q.	Has Keith Rothfus been the congressman there	8	Q.	Okay. Could I look at it for a second. So
9	that entire time?	9		the court states in this order that
10 A.	Mark Critz was the congressman first.	10		"plaintiffs may be questioned about their
11 Q.	What party affiliation is Mark Critz?	11		political registration and voting history."
12 A.	He is a Democrat sort of.	12		So we have been talking about that, right?
13 Q.	Sort of?	13	A.	Yes.
14 A.	Sort of. It is a conservative Democrat.	14	Q.	And also the court says "to describe any harm,
15 Q.	Keith is Republican, right?	15		quote-unquote, they allege in this case, and
16 A.	Yes.	16		shall produce, preferably before but no later
17 Q.	Did you work for any campaigns in the 12th	17		than at the deposition, documents in their
18	district?	18		possession, custody or control on these
19 A.	I did not.	19		topics."
20 Q.	Did you contribute any monies financially to	20		So let me break that down. What harm
21	the congressional race?	21		are you alleging happened to you personally in
22 A.	Prior to 2016	22		this complaint?
23 Q.	Okay. That was a bad question. Let me ask a	1	A.	I am alleging that my vote does not count as
24	better question. In the years 2016, 2014, or	24		much as it should. I am alleging that because
25	2012, let's say, did you contribute	25		I have been grouped into a district with a
	Page 11			Page 13
1	financially to any candidate in the 12th	1		whole bunch of other people, and I did not
2	district?	2		bring a map of 12, but I am assuming you've
3 A.	No, I did not.	3		seen a map of PA 12. That my vote has
4 Q.	Were you prevented from contributing by	4		purposely been diluted by the addition of a
5	anybody?	5		whole bunch of other barely contiguous
6 A.	No, I was not.	6		communities that don't belong in my district.
7 Q.	Now, before 2012, when it was, you said, the	7		Because my vote has been diluted, my
8	4th congressional district, right?	8		representation has been diluted, and now I
9 A.	Yes.	9		have a congressman who is way further to the
10 Q.	Did you contribute to any candidate for	10		extreme than he should be because he doesn't
11	Congress?	11		have to worry about the general election. He
12 A.	I did not.	12		only worries about the primary.
13 Q.	Have you ever, Doctor, been an elected	1	-	Extreme what?
14	government official?	14	A.	The extreme of his political party. He is not
15 A.	I have not.	15		a centrist or moderate Republican. He is an
16 Q.	Have you ever run?	16		extremely right wing Republican. Because he
17 A.	Nope.	17		doesn't need to worry about running in the
18 Q.	You don't fall in the category, if nominated I	18		general election because he is going to win
19	will not run, if elected, I will not serve,	19	_	the general election.
20	right?	1	Q.	How would you draw the 12th congressional
21 A.	No.	21		district to make it acceptable to you?
22 Q.	I am going to show you I only have one	1	A.	I am not a statistician. I'm not a computer
23	copy, but I will make another copy for your	23		programmer. But ideally my district should be
24	attorney this is an order from the court	24		compact. It should include the requisite 700
25	about the questions that the lawyers can ask	25		and change thousand number of people that are

Page 14

Agre v Wolf

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16 Q.

19 A.

KELLERMAN November 28, 2017

Page 16

Page 17

required by law. It should not break up municipalities whenever possible. It should include whole townships. It shouldn't split my township, and it should not be based upon the political persuasion of the people in the district. It shouldn't have these little fingers that reach out to grab another clump of Republicans, and it should not have these little carve-outs like Cheswick which were pulled out, leaving this bizarre hole in our district because those votes wouldn't swing the district the other way. I shouldn't drive down Freeport Road

and cross in and out of my district four times in five miles. Don't you agree that no matter which way you configure the district, you are going to have different population groups no matter what? There are going to be different population groups no matter what. And since our state swings, or at least based on the Senate and whole state elections, we swing about a little over half to the Republican side and a little less than half to the Democratic side, you

1

6

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

18

It is an important obligation of being a 2 A.

3

Okay, obligation. So, Doctor, is it your 4 O.

position that there is some perfect 5

configuration of congressional districts that

is out there that would allow for you to elect 7

a Democratic in the 12th congressional

district? 9

That is not my point. There is not a perfect 10 A. way of drawing districts. There are certainly 11 better ways than what happened, but there are 12 no perfect ways. And the goal is not that we 13 elect a Democrat. My goal is not that we 14 elect a Democrat in the 12th. My goal is that 15 16 the citizens who live in the 12th decide who 17 represents us.

> In the past, I had Jason Altmire who is a liberal Democrat. I had Melissa Hart who is a Republican. I had Mark Critz then who beat Jason Altmire. I have had both Republican and Democratic representation. But it went back and forth, and those representatives were responsive to the people in their district because they knew they might

Page 15

would represent that. Not 13 to 5. Which is 1 nowhere near the population representation. 2

How many Republicans and how many Democrats Q. 3

would think that our congressional district

population-wise in your district? 4

In my district, I don't know exactly. 5 A.

Q. So you are saying the Commonwealth of 6 Pennsylvania total congressional districts, 7 8

there is more Republicans than Democrats? No. I am saying that's what happened in last A.

9 year's votes. Only 43 percent of the people 10 voted. Lots of people could be registered as 11

Democrats and never vote. Lots of people 12 could be registered as Republicans and never 13

vote. How you are registered doesn't mean how you voted. I don't know.

16 O. That's right. You don't have to vote -- you

have to vote your party in the primary but not 17 in the general election, correct? 18

Correct. And I don't have to vote at any of 19 Α. those times. 20

21 O. You don't have to vote.

Right. 22 A.

23 Q. But you say you do vote.

24 A.

Because that's an important right of being a 25 Q.

- be out next time. 1
- 2 Q. Could that be because of personality of the candidate and their positions more than how 3
- many Republicans or how many Democrats happen 4

to be in their district? Such as I know 5

Melissa Hart, she is a dynamic lady, I think. 6

A. I am sorry, could you rephrase that. 7

8 O. Well, I am just --

Could Keith Rothfus not being responsive to my A. 9

concerns be because Keith Rothfus is not a 10 11

responsive person as opposed to how the

district is? I don't understand. 12

What I am saying, taking Melissa Hart as an 13 O.

example, could she have been elected because

of her personality and her positions 15

politically and all the other factors that go 16

into it, her integrity, her character, her 17

education, her charisma? I mean, there are a

19 lot of reasons why people vote for somebody,

isn't there? 20

There are people who vote for them because 21 A.

they recognize their name on the ballot. 22

People get voted in for all sorts of reasons. 23

That's right. But it seems to me you are 24 Q. taking the position that the only reason 25

Wolf			November 28, 2017
	Page 18		Page 20
1	people get voted in is because of the	1	assume that somebody has already produced a
2	geographic configuration of the district. It	2	copy of the congressional maps. Do we have a
	has nothing to do with any other		copy of congressional maps?
3	characteristic of that candidate.	3	MR. HEALEY: I do not. I know it is
4		4	
5 A.	I am saying that a party can run a good	5	in the records.
6	candidate or a bad candidate or anything in	6	MR. HALL: I don't have it either.
7	between. But we are starting off from a point	7 Q.	
8	where it is way more difficult than it should	8	Doctor, you don't have any documents of any
9	be for a moderate of either party to win, or	9	kind that reflect the harm that you are
10	for any, in our gerrymandered district, for	10	alleging in this case; is that right?
11	any Democrat to win. It is an extra bar which	11 A.	•
12	shouldn't be there.	12	alleging in this case I suppose.
13 Q.	Let me ask you this question. When was the	13 Q.	You made that statement.
14	last time a Republican was elected mayor of	14 A.	Yes.
15	the City of Pittsburgh?	15 Q.	What I am asking you, beyond the oral
16 A.	I would assume never, but I have no idea.	16	statement, you don't have any documents that
17 Q.	That's a pretty good assumption.	17	form the basis for your oral statement of the
18	MR. HEALEY: 1930 '31, that was	18	harm that you are alleging in this case?
19	the last one.	19 A.	
20 A.	But the configuration but who lives in my	20	would demonstrate that harm?
21	district didn't change, just my district	21 Q.	
22	borders changed. Nobody moved in or out of my	22 A.	
23	neighborhood to change.	23	I should be producing?
24 Q.	Well, the Borough of Fox Chapel where you	24 Q.	
25	live, is it predominantly Republican or	25 A.	
25	iive, is it predominantly republican of	25 A.	Decause I don't understand your question.
	Page 19		D 04
	raye 19		Page 21
1		1 O.	
1 2 A.	Democrat?	1 Q.	I know what you are saying.
2 A.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in	2	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she
2 A. 3	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for	2	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to
2 A. 3	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they	2 3 4	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question.
2 A. 3 4 5	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the	2 3 4 5 Q.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They
2 A. 3 4 5 6	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign.	2 3 4 5 Q.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct.	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one.	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right?
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had?	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No.	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had?	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map. Does any other document come to mind even if
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No. Do you have documents, Doctor, that are representative of the harm alleged in the	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A. 18	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 Q.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No. Do you have documents, Doctor, that are	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A. 18 19 Q.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map. Does any other document come to mind even if it is not in your possession?
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No. Do you have documents, Doctor, that are representative of the harm alleged in the	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A. 18 19 Q.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map. Does any other document come to mind even if it is not in your possession? No.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20 21	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No. Do you have documents, Doctor, that are representative of the harm alleged in the civil action 17-4392? I'm not sure what sort of document I would	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A. 18 19 Q. 20 21 A.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map. Does any other document come to mind even if it is not in your possession? No.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20 21 22 A.	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No. Do you have documents, Doctor, that are representative of the harm alleged in the civil action 17-4392? I'm not sure what sort of document I would have that says that my vote doesn't count the	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A. 18 19 Q. 21 A. 22 Q.	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map. Does any other document come to mind even if it is not in your possession? No. Now, you brought with you some emails, Doctor.
2 A. 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 A. 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20 21 22 A. 23	Democrat? My precinct voted 65 percent for Hillary in the last election. They also voted for Rothfus primarily I think because maybe they didn't know who McClelland was, because the alternative did not campaign. So people were splitting their ticket. They weren't voting straight R or straight D in your precinct. Yes. And the time before that when Rothfus ran unopposed, most people voted for him as opposed to not voting for that one. Now in this order, Doctor, the court says that you produced documents that describe the harm that is in your custody. You produced certain emails. Are they representative of the harm that you had? No. Do you have documents, Doctor, that are representative of the harm alleged in the civil action 17-4392? I'm not sure what sort of document I would	2 3 4 5 Q. 6 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 17 A. 18 19 Q. 20 21 A. 22 Q. 23	I know what you are saying. MR. HEALEY: I think it's fair, she doesn't have a specific document responsive to that question. I am just reading what the court said. They said ask the witness if they have a document that evidences their harm, and you are saying you don't have such a document. I have no document in my possession which I think is what it says that says that. There are plenty of documents in the public record that evidence that. I think basically the congressional map. So if you had the congressional map, you would offer that to me as a document that evidences of the harm that you've had; is that right? That evidences how my vote was diluted by this ridiculous looking map. Does any other document come to mind even if it is not in your possession? No. Now, you brought with you some emails, Doctor.

1	П				140ventber 20, 2017
		Page 22			Page 24
1		22020	1		involved in her local Republican party for two
2	Q.	I will show you what we marked as 3, Dr.	2		decades. So this is not a Democratic issue.
3	ζ.	Kellerman. Could you identify this email,	3		This is a citizens issue.
4		please.		Q.	So you are saying these emails represent
5	A.	This is from my email. What do you mean by	5	ζ.	bipartisan actions?
6	7 1.	identify?	l	A.	Maureen is a Republican and Carolyn who is the
7	Q.	It must be, because that was printed out by my	7		third person that went is a Democrat and has
8	Q.	paralegal this morning from your computer.	8		been for a long as I know.
9	A.	These are my emails, yes.	l	Q.	Doctor, in your mind, these emails, what do
10	Q.	Give me an overview. What are you doing here?	10	۷.	they represent? You said you had them. You
11	ζ.	You are meeting Senator Vulakovich?	11		brought these with you today, and I appreciate
	A.	I met with Senator Vulakovich who is my state	12		that.
13	1 1.	senator. I met with him to discuss with him	13	Α	They don't represent anything. Brian asked me
14		whether he was going to support Senate Bill 22	14		anything in my email that included the word
15		which is the redistricting bill to redraw maps	15		"gerrymandering" I should forward, and this
16		after the 2020 census, have those maps drawn	16		actually was about gerrymandering.
17		by a nonpartisan citizens commission instead	17		22222
18		of our current system.	18		(Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)
	Q.	And Senator Vulakovich is an R or D?	19		(Estimote 1 was marked for 100 statement)
	A.	Senator Vulakovich is a Republican.		Q.	Let me show you Exhibit 4. This is also an
	Q.	What did he tell you when you met him in the	21	۷.	email that we printed out from your laptop,
22	Q.	parking lot?	22		right?
	A.	We didn't meet him in the parking lot. We met		A.	Uh-huh.
24	11.	in the parking lot to get our stuff together	24		Would you tell us what this represents from
25		and then go in together. He allowed us to	25	۷.	November 28 and then it goes back to May 3?
23		and then go in together. He allowed as to			The verifical and the state of good chose to stand a
		Page 23			Page 25
1		_	,	Α	-
1		come into his office, yes. He was very	1	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood
2		come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he	2	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the
2		come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not	2 3	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what
2 3 4		come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state	2 3 4	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and
2 3 4 5		come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in	2 3 4 5	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in
2 3 4 5 6		come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house	2 3 4 5 6	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the
2 3 4 5 6 7	0	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	-	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	-	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	-	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case. So people don't want to invest in something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors from both parties. It is also sitting there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case. So people don't want to invest in something that may be worthless depending on how the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors from both parties. It is also sitting there. For the same reason we just discussed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. Q.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case. So people don't want to invest in something that may be worthless depending on how the courts decide these things?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors from both parties. It is also sitting there. For the same reason we just discussed. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case. So people don't want to invest in something that may be worthless depending on how the courts decide these things? I guess. The people in the email are both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors from both parties. It is also sitting there. For the same reason we just discussed. Yes. I am going to ask you some background
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. Q.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case. So people don't want to invest in something that may be worthless depending on how the courts decide these things? I guess. The people in the email are both Republicans and Democrats. Maureen is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors from both parties. It is also sitting there. For the same reason we just discussed. Yes. I am going to ask you some background questions. Have you ever gone by any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. Q.	come into his office, yes. He was very polite. He listened. At that time he said he was open to listening to it, but he was not going to co-sponsor it. My state representative who also is a Republican is, in fact, a co-sponsor of the equivalent house bill. It is a bipartisan bill. What has happened to that bill? At the moment, it is sitting in the state government committee where it will sit until these lawsuits are resolved. Because nobody is interested in investing any political capital in bills that might be moot. So you are referencing, you know, the cases that we are here today testifying in and other cases that have been filed, some as high as the Supreme Court? And they are also talking about the Wisconsin Supreme Court case. So people don't want to invest in something that may be worthless depending on how the courts decide these things? I guess. The people in the email are both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	That was just my sharing of what I understood was going on with the Senate Bill 22 with the people I know on-line. So I just shared what my conversation with Senator Folmer and Senator Williams who are, I believe, both in the state government committee, with where the bill stood back in May which was that it sounded like they were going to likely be hearing sometime in the summer. I don't believe that ever happened, but I don't know for certain. So the exhibit is kind of like a history of where the status of Senate Bill 22 was as of May 2017? Yes. Now as far as you know, has anything else happened to that, Doctor? Since May? The equivalent House bill which is 722 was introduced. It has 90 co-sponsors from both parties. It is also sitting there. For the same reason we just discussed. Yes. I am going to ask you some background

Ĭ		Page 26		Page 28
		N		shout it I don't remember if I did
	1 A		1	about it. I don't remember if I did.
	2 Q		2 Q.	Bernie Sanders?
	3 A	•	3 A.	Yes.
1	4 Q	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 Q.	But other than Bernie, did you send anybody
	5	right?	5	else any money?
	6 A	*	6 A.	No.
	7 Q	-	7 Q.	Did any government official stop you from
-	8 A		8	making political contributions?
	9 Q	•	9 A.	No.
- 1	10 A		10 Q.	Did any law ever stop you from making
- 1	11 Q		11	political contributions?
- 1	12 A		12 A.	The law does limit how much of a political
	13 Q		13	contribution I can make currently which I
	14	have?	14 Q.	That's for all of us, right?
- 1	15 A	*	15 A.	Yes.
- 1	16 Q		16 Q.	But you never got up to that point, right?
1	17 A		17 A.	Oh, not before November.
	18	practices, and it fits in a backpack. It is	18 Q.	I'm not following that.
	19	the size of a large laptop computer.	19 A.	I have been donating to Senator Casey, and I
	20 Q		20	will max out that donation this year.
- 1	21 A	* *	21 Q.	That will be your first time getting to the
	22 Q		22	max?
	23 A		23 A.	Yes.
	24 Q		24 Q.	That's on behalf of Senator Casey?
	25	mobile veterinary practice?	25 A.	Yes.
			1	D 00
		Page 27		Page 29
	1 A		1 Q.	Page 29 Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or
5	1 A		1 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate?
		I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary	`	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or
	2	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and	2	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate?
	2 3	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary	2 3 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you
	2 3 4 5	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler.	2 3 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now?
***	2 3 4 5	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to?	2 3 A. 4 5 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying
	2 3 4 5 6 Q	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party?	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that?
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q	 I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right? 	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election?
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right? Yes.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q 18 19 A	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right?	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q 18 19 A	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right? Yes. And we talked about you have never been prohibited from voting.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes. You weren't active in last November's election
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q 18 19 A 20 Q 21	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right? Yes. And we talked about you have never been prohibited from voting. Correct.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20 21 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes. You weren't active in last November's election Correct.
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q 18 19 A 20 Q 21 22 A	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right? Yes. And we talked about you have never been prohibited from voting. Correct.	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20 21 A. 22 Q.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes. You weren't active in last November's election Correct other than voting?
	2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 A 17 Q 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 A 23 Q	I have been mobile since 2004, and prior to that I worked at Pittsburgh Veterinary Specialists, and it was a combined PVS and Pittsburgh Veterinary Internal Medicine. We had a practice in Shaler. What veterinary medical college did you go to? Cornell. How long have you been registered with the Democratic party? I think since I was 18. Now since you were registered, Doctor, have you been active in the Democratic party? No. And I regret that greatly. I think you've described that you have voted in elections from 2005 to the present, right? Yes. You're a regular voter in the general election and the primary; is that right? Yes. And we talked about you have never been prohibited from voting. Correct. Now, during this time from 2005, Doctor, did you make political contributions?	2 3 A. 4 5 Q. 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 11 12 Q. 13 A. 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 21 A. 22 Q. 23 A.	Now, have you, Doctor, ever campaigned for or spoken in support of any candidate? Not prior to the November elections. Do you want to know now? Well, you are saying November. You are saying last November. The ones that passed, yes. What did you do? Nothing before November. Now I have. I am advocating for a State Senate candidate in my district. Who is that? Stephanie Walsh. And if you are in PA 38 she is worth meeting. I would like to, but I'm not. So you are saying you're active for Stephanie after last November's election? Yes. You weren't active in last November's election—Correct. — other than voting? Right.

KELLERMAN November 28, 2017

	WOII				
		Page 30			Page 32
	1 A.	No. I hosted a house party for her, and I	1		think the tax reform proposal is horrendous.
ı	2	will knock on doors for her when the time	2	Q.	Doctor, did any law stop you from
i	3	comes.	3	Ψ.	participating in any other civic activity?
ı	4 Q.	That's campaigning.		A.	I don't think so.
H	5 A.	Yes.	5	Q.	We have talked about your political
	6 Q.	Where does Stephanie live?	6	ζ.	contributions on a federal, state or local
	7 A.	She is in Highland Park. Which oddly is in my	7		candidate. You are mainly with Stephanie
	8	Senate district, despite being on the other	8		right now making contributions?
	9	side of the river.		A.	I don't think I have given her money. I have
	10 Q.	I see what you mean. I always thought people	10		given her time.
	11	kind of migrated from like Shadyside, Squirrel		Q.	Now since 2005, have you contacted your member
	12	Hill, Highland Park out to Fox Chapel.	12	Ψ.	of Congress on any issues or constituent
	13 A.	Well, you have to migrate across a bridge.	13		service matters?
	14 Q.	I take it that no government official ever		A.	Yes.
	15	stopped you from campaigning or speaking on		Q.	Would you tell us about that, please.
	16	behalf of any political candidate?		A.	I have called Congressman Rothfus' office
	17 A.	No.	17		about funding for the wall, the southern
	18 Q.	Was there any law that would have stopped you	18		border wall, immigration, the Dreamers, the
	19 Q.	from campaigning or speaking on behalf of any	19		Better Care and Reconciliation Act, whatever
	20	candidate?	20		the BCRA was called before it got called the
	21 A.	Not that I am aware of.	21		BCRA, the tax reform proposal.
	22 Q.	Doctor, have you ever participated in any		Q.	We are talking about Keith.
į	23	public protests of any kind?		À.	Yes. Oh, yes. Pretty much the Power Plant
	24 A.	I have.	24		Act, repealing the Clean Water Act because
١	25 Q.	Would you explain that, please.	25		we don't need clean water. Basically whatever
١	Ai `				
١	7	Page 31			Page 33
I	1 A.	I attended a Planned Parenthood rally when I	1		seems to be going. The Consumer Financial
ì	2	was in college. I attended a local women's	2		Protection Bureau. He is a big advocate of
i	3	march. I protest at Senator Toomey's office	3		this Choice Act to get rid of Dodd-Frank.
i	4	regularly on Tuesdays, if I can swing that	4		Really anything that has been going on.
ı	5	with work. I protested against the Muslim		Q.	Do you call his office?
	6	ban. I protest in front of Keith Rothfus'		A.	I call his office. And generally somebody
١	7	office on Wednesdays. Initially we were	7		answers, they take my name and my ZIP code,
١	8	demanding that he hold a town hall and listen	8		and they promise they are going to pass that
	9	to us. He still has not done that. Now it is	9		on.
1	10	more issue oriented.		Q.	You tell them the subject matter that you are
	11 Q.	Who organizes these protests?	11	_	concerned about?
	12 A.	People who live there. The women's march	12	A.	Yes.
	13	was I mean the local women's march,	13	Q.	And have you written to Congressman Rothfus?
	14	somebody on-line said we should have one, and	14	À.	Regularly, yes.
	15	12 people showed up at the local Panera's and	15	Q.	When you say regularly, what are we talking
	16	organized a march. I was in charge of	16	-	about? Once a month?
	17	port-a-johns. So you really don't need a lot	17	A.	Twice a week.
	18	of experience to be a protestor. Nobody is	18	Q.	That's pretty regularly, I would say.
	19	paying us, and if we are supposed to get paid,	11	À.	Not every week. I missed Thanksgiving.
	20	we are doing it wrong.	20	Q.	Do you email him or do you send him letters?
П				À.	I primarily email him. There is a "contact
Į,	21 O.	what are you protesting about with Schator	124		- P
	21 Q. 22	What are you protesting about with Senator Toomey?	22		me" spot on his website where you can cut and
	21 Q. 22 23 A.	Toomey?	I.		* *
THE RESIDENCE AND PERSONS ASSESSED.	22		22		me" spot on his website where you can cut and

25 A. I get form letters back.

meet with constituents. At the moment, l

25

KELLERMAN November 28, 2017

Page 36 Page 34 There is a borough council and the borough 1 O. What do they say? 1 O. council has a mayor in Fox Chapel? 2 A. They say thank you for contacting me. Most of 2 3 the time they have the correct topic, but not 3 A. And we talked about you have never run for always. The first one I got back about the 4 O. 4 border wall, basically said thank you for public office? 5 5 agreeing with me that funding a border wall is 6 A. 6 Have you ever attempted to draw what you would really important, and I hope to continue to consider a fair district? hear from you. It clearly was not read. 8 8 9 Q. Since 2005, have you contacted your senator? 9 A. No. 10 Q. I think you mentioned before, you don't feel 10 A. I have. you are qualified to do that. What has that been about? 11 Q. 11 Correct. I mean, there are people who are I generally contact Senator Casey to thank him 12 A. 12 A. writing computer programs that will do that. for standing up for the Affordable Care Act 13 13 I do feel that I would be qualified to be on a and his votes against Betsy DeVos and the 14 14 nonpartisan citizens commission, but, no, I other cabinet members. Senator Toomey I have 15 15 have no expertise in map drawing. contacted about sanctuary cities, about 16 16 What would be the political composition of a budgets, about funding for the NIH, about 17 Q. 17 fair district for you, Doctor? 18 immigration, about the tax reform proposal. 18 It would represent the people who live in the Do you need more? The environment, the Dream A. 19 19 district. It would be a district that 20 Act, Puerto Rico. 20 generally follows a combination of township This is Senator Toomey? 21 21 Q. and natural borders. In areas where there are 22 A. Yes. 22 highways and things, then those would be taken 23 Q. Has Senator Toomey been responsive to you? 23 into account, because, you know, like crossing 24 A. No, and his staffers aren't always polite. 24 a large highway, the people on one side might 25 O. Have they ever gotten back to you on any of 25 Page 37 Page 35 not really belong in that district if their these issues? 1 1 whole civic life is held on the other side. Have I ever received a call back? I generally 2 2 A. Like you know what happened with the call and give my information. One day a long 3 3 Civic Arena in Pittsburgh, it cut communities time -- back in December, a staffer actually 4 4 apart. It should follow real community lines, called me back. I think they weren't that 5 5 busy back then and asked some questions. and it should be drawn based upon the actual 6 6 What did you talk about? 7 community, not based upon the history of how 7 Q. people vote, and I don't see how that should God, I don't remember. A. 8 8 Did you ever contact your governor? be relevant. 9 Q. 9 How people vote can change though? Occasionally. Ο. 10 A. 10 Which governor? Yes, it can. 11 A. 11 Q. From year to year, right? 12 A. Governor Wolf. 12 Q. 13 Q. Has he been responsive? 13 A. Yes. It really can. 14 Q. 14 A. His office is, yes. On what subjects? 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. What would the racial composition of a fair Opposing the gun -- whether he would veto SB Ο. 16 A. 16 district be for you, Doctor? 83 the guns in school act if it came up, 17 17 supporting Planned Parenthood. And then I It depends upon the district and where people 18 Α. 18 live. I understand that people of color and have basically just called and said thank you 19 19 minority should not be disenfranchised and for working hard. 20 20 that the Voting Rights Act protects them in You don't have a mayor in Fox Chapel, do you? 21 Q. 21 how districts are drawn, and that's primary 22 A. We do. 22 going to, in my area, going to affect urban Have you contacted your mayor? 23 23 Q. districts much more than my district. No. I am very happy with my borough council. 24 A. 24 My school system is something like 92 The town sort of seems to chug along. 25 25

KELLERMAN

November 28, 2017 Page 40 Page 38 1 A. Yes. or 95 percent white. 1 As we discussed in this deposition. Fox Chapel School District? 2 O. 2 O. з А. 3 A. Yes. We are sadly nondiverse. My district is 4 Q. not, unfortunately, going to be terribly When you voted in 2016, how did the shape of 4 racially diverse. But if there were a your district harm you? And if so, how? 5 5 The shape of my district -- the composition of racially different community sitting in the 6 A. 6 my district was determined by the shape of my middle of my district, it shouldn't be carved 7 7 district. It is not actually that it is this down and stuck in somebody else's district. 8 8 weird shape that hurts me. It is that we had Doctor, when you voted in 2010, did the shape 9 Q. 9 to make these weird shapes to get these people the -- I think you said the 4th district 10 10 and these people and take these people over harmed you. 11 11 here in the narrow part out that harms me. I looked up my district, and I don't remember 12 12 A. Did Keith run in 2016? 13 O. exactly when it was redrawn, but my district 13 has been strange twice now. We were the 4th Yes. And he won. 14 14 A. I know Keith. Just coincidentally. -- do you know the time frame? I don't know 15 O. 15 And it harmed me by diluting the power of my the time frame. 16 A. 16 vote because my vote should count because when MR. HEALEY: I can't answer for you. 17 17 you take all of the other people nearby who I think my district actually was gerrymandered 18 18 A. are part of my community and my nearby back in 2000 and then got -- got exaggerated 19 19 communities, and you cut them out because they in 2010. So I think that we were actually the 20 20 vote like I do, and instead, you put in these 4th before 2010 -- I don't remember the exact 21 21 group of people and that group of people, and 22 22 time frame. you are just sticking them in there, not Back when I was in the 4th, I didn't 23 23 because they are nearby or belong in my really pay any attention. Looking at the map, 24 24 district, but because they vote like somebody 25 it looked like my district was a fairly normal 25 Page 41 Page 39 else wants them to vote, that harms me. When looking blob. It wasn't a rectangle, but, I 1 1 my district should be about 50-50 because mean, it looked like a fairly compact looking 2 2 that's who lives in the area around me, that thing. I never really paid attention to it. 3 3 my district should be able to pick the I went and voted. 4 4 representative who represents us. And my state districts are not 5 5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

horrendous, my particular 30 and 38 are -like we have that little Highland Park business on the other side of the river, but overall my district is relatively normal looking. It is my congressional district that is bizarre.

- Don't you agree if you try to gerrymander, or 12 Q. whatever you want to call it, a district to 13 14 satisfy one particular criteria, let's say racial, and you push it one way, it 15 necessarily is going to create an imbalance 16 somewhere else. 17
- I don't agree with that. The way people who 18 A. live in Johnstown are now part of my district 19 are no more white than the white people who 20 live over in Cheswick who are now not part of 21 22
- So it would be your testimony that when you 23 Q. voted in 2012, the shape of your district 24 harmed you; is that right? 25

Right now, my vote counts less -doesn't count the way it should, and my representative is chosen by a bunch of people over here who are in a community very different than my community.

- What community is that? 11 O.
- We have Johnstown and Beaver. We have very --12 A. we don't have the river communities which are 13 much closer to me. I am three miles from the 14 river. 15

There is nothing wrong with the people in Beaver and there is nothing wrong with people in Johnstown, but they are not the compacting, contiguous group of people who should belong to my district.

And we didn't, and the people in Beaver and the people in Johnstown didn't decide that they should be in this district. Somebody else decided this for us. And they have decided this so that they would break up

6

7

8

9

10

11

